

Complexity of a Problem Concerning Reset Words for Eulerian Binary Automata[☆]

Vojtěch Vorel

*Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, Charles University
Malostranské nám. 25, 118 00 Prague, Czech Republic*

Abstract

A word is called a reset word for a deterministic finite automaton if it maps all the states of the automaton to a unique state. Deciding about the existence of a reset word of a given maximum length for a given automaton is known to be an NP-complete problem. We prove that it remains NP-complete even if restricted to Eulerian automata with binary alphabets, as it has been conjectured by Martyugin (2011).

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

A *deterministic finite automaton* is a triple $A = (Q, X, \delta)$, where Q and X are finite sets and δ is an arbitrary mapping $Q \times X \rightarrow Q$. Elements of Q are called *states*, X is the *alphabet*. The *transition function* δ can be naturally extended to $Q \times X^* \rightarrow Q$, still denoted by δ . We extend it also by defining

$$\delta(S, w) = \{\delta(s, w) \mid s \in S, w \in X^*\}$$

for each $S \subseteq Q$. If the automaton is fixed, we write

$$r \xrightarrow{w} s$$

instead of $\delta(r, w) = s$.

For a given automaton $A = (Q, X, \delta)$, we call $w \in X^*$ a *reset word* if

$$|\delta(Q, w)| = 1.$$

If such a word exists, we call the automaton *synchronizing*. Note that each word having a reset word as a factor is also a reset word.

A need for finding reset words appears in several fields of mathematics and engineering. Classical applications (see [11]) include model-based testing,

[☆]Research supported by the Czech Science Foundation grant GA14-10799S.

robotic manipulation, and symbolic dynamics, but there are important connections also with information theory [10] and with formal models of biomolecular processes [1].

The *Černý Conjecture*, a longstanding open problem, claims that each synchronizing automaton has a reset word of length $(|Q| - 1)^2$. Though it still remains open, there are many weaker results in this field, see e.g. [8, 4] for recent ones¹.

Various computational problems arise from the study of synchronization:

- *Given an automaton, decide if it is synchronizing.* Relatively simple algorithm, which could be traced back to [2], works in polynomial time.
- *Given a synchronizing automaton and a number d , decide if d is the length of shortest reset words.* This has been shown to be both NP-hard [3] and coNP-hard. More precisely, it is DP-complete [7].
- *Given a synchronizing automaton and a number d , decide if there exists a reset word of length d .* This problem is of our interest. Lying in NP, it is not so computationally hard as the previous problem. However, it is proven to be NP-complete [3]. Following the notation of [6], we call it SYN. Assuming that $\mathcal{M}$ is a class of automata and membership in $\mathcal{M}$ is polynomially decidable, we define a restricted problem:

SYN($\mathcal{M}$)

Input: synchronizing automaton $A = ([n], X, \delta) \in \mathcal{M}$, $d \in \mathbb{N}$

Output: does A have a reset word of length d ?

An automaton $A = (Q, X, \delta)$ is *Eulerian* if

$$\sum_{x \in X} |\{r \in Q \mid \delta(r, x) = q\}| = |X|$$

for each $q \in Q$. Informally, there should be exactly $|X|$ transitions incoming to each state. An automaton is *binary* if $|X| = 2$. The classes of Eulerian and binary automata are denoted by $\mathcal{EU}$ and $\mathcal{AL}_2$ respectively.

Previous results about various restrictions of SYN can be found in [3, 5, 6]. Some of these problems turned out to be polynomially solvable, others are NP-complete. In [6] Martyugin conjectured that $\text{SYN}(\mathcal{EU} \cap \mathcal{AL}_2)$ is NP-complete. This conjecture is confirmed in the rest of the present paper.

2. Main Result

2.1. Proof Outline

We prove the NP-completeness of $\text{SYN}(\mathcal{EU} \cap \mathcal{AL}_2)$ by a polynomial reduction from 3-SAT. So, for arbitrary propositional formula ϕ in 3-CNF we construct

¹The result published by Trahtman [9] in 2011 has turned out to be proved incorrectly.

an Eulerian binary automaton A and a number d such that

$$\phi \text{ is satisfiable} \Leftrightarrow A \text{ has a reset word of length } d. \quad (1)$$

For the rest of the paper we fix a formula

$$\phi = \bigwedge_{i=1}^m \bigvee_{\lambda \in C_i} \lambda$$

on n variables where each C_i is a three-element set of literals, i.e. subset of

$$L_\phi = \{x_1, \dots, x_n, \neg x_1, \dots, \neg x_n\}.$$

We index the literals $\lambda \in L_\phi$ by the following mapping κ :

λ	x_1	x_2	$\dots$	x_n	$\neg x_1$	$\neg x_2$	$\dots$	$\neg x_n$
$\kappa(\lambda)$	0	1	$\dots$	$n-1$	n	$n+1$	$\dots$	$2n-1$

Let $A = (Q, X, \delta)$, $X = \{a, b\}$. Because the structure of the automaton A will be very heterogeneous, we use an unusual method of description. The basic principles of the method are:

- We describe the automaton A via a labeled directed multigraph G , representing the automaton in a standard way: edges of G are labeled by single letters a and b and carry the structure of the function δ . Paths in G are thus *labeled* by words from $\{a, b\}^*$.
- There is a collection of labeled directed multigraphs called *templates*. The graph G is one of them. Another template is **SINGLE**, which consists of one vertex and no edges.
- Each template $T \neq \text{SINGLE}$ is expressed in a fixed way as a disjoint union through a set PARTS_T of its proper subgraphs (the *parts* of T), extended by a set of additional edges (the *links* of T). Each $H \in \text{PARTS}_T$ is isomorphic to some template U . We say that H is of *type* U .
- Let q be a vertex of a template T , lying in a subgraph $H \in \text{PARTS}_T$ which is of type U via a vertex mapping $\rho : H \rightarrow U$. The *local address* $\text{adr}_T(q)$ is a finite string of identifiers separated by „|“. It is defined inductively by

$$\text{adr}_T(q) = \begin{cases} H \mid \text{adr}_U(\rho(q)) & \text{if } U \neq \text{SINGLE} \\ H & \text{if } U = \text{SINGLE}. \end{cases}$$

The string $\text{adr}_G(q)$ is used as a regular vertex identifier.

Having a word $w \in X^*$, we denote a t -th letter of w by w_t and define the set $S_t = \delta(Q, w_1 \dots w_t)$ of *active states at time* t . Whenever we depict a graph, a solid arrow stands for the label a and a dotted arrow stands for the label b .

2.2. Description of the Graph G

Let us define all the templates and informally comment on their purpose. Figure 1 defines the template **ABS**, which does not depend on the formula ϕ .




Figure 1: Template **ABS**




Figure 2: A barrier of **ABS** parts

The state *out* of a part of type **ABS** is always inactive after application of a word of length at least 2 which does not contain b^2 as a factor. This allows us to ensure the existence of a relatively short reset word. Actually, large areas of the graph (namely the **CLAUSE**(...) parts) have roughly the shape depicted in Figure 2, a cylindrical structure with a horizontal barrier of **ABS** parts. If we use a sufficiently long word with no occurrence of b^2 , the edges outgoing from the **ABS** parts are never used and almost all states become inactive.




Figure 3: Templates **CCA**, **CCI** and **PIPE**(d) respectively

Figure 3 defines simple templates **CCA**, **CCI** and **PIPE**(d) for each $d \geq 1$. The activity of an *out* state depends on the last two letters applied. In the case of **CCA** it is inactive if (and typically only if) the two letters were equal. In the case of **CCI** it works oppositely, equal letters correspond to active *out* state. One of the key ideas of the entire construction is the following. Let there be a subgraph of the form

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \text{part of type PIPE}(d) \\
 \downarrow a, b \\
 \text{part of type CCA or CCI} \\
 \downarrow a, b \\
 \text{part of type PIPE}(d).
 \end{array} \tag{2}$$

Before the synchronization process starts, all the states are active. As soon as the second letter of an input word is applied, the activity of the *out* state

starts to depend on the last two letters and the pipe below keeps a record of its previous activity. We say that a part H of type $\text{PIPE}(d)$ *records* a sequence $B_1 \dots B_d \in \{\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1}\}^d$ at time t , if it holds that

$$B_k = \mathbf{1} \Leftrightarrow H|_{s_k} \notin S_t.$$

In order to continue with defining templates, let us define a set M_ϕ containing all the literals from L_ϕ and some auxiliary symbols:

$$M_\phi = L_\phi \cup \{y_1, \dots, y_n\} \cup \{z_1, \dots, z_n\} \cup \{q, q', r, r'\}.$$

We index the $4n + 4$ members $\nu \in M_\phi$ by the following mapping μ :

ν	q	r	y_1	x_1	y_2	x_2	$\dots$	y_n	x_n
$\mu(\nu)$	1	2	3	4	5	6		$2n+1$	$2n+2$

ν	q'	r'	z_1	$\neg x_1$	z_2	$\neg x_2$	$\dots$	z_n	$\neg x_n$
$\mu(\nu)$	$2n+3$	$2n+4$	$2n+5$	$2n+6$	$2n+7$	$2n+8$	$\dots$	$4n+3$	$4n+4$

The inverse mapping is denoted by μ' . For each $\lambda \in L_\phi$ we define templates $\text{INC}(\lambda)$ and $\text{NOTINC}(\lambda)$, both consisting of $12n + 12$ **SINGLE** parts identified by elements of $\{1, 2, 3\} \times M_\phi$. As depicted by Figure 4a, the links of $\text{INC}(\lambda)$ are:

$$\begin{aligned}
(1, \nu) &\xrightarrow{a} \begin{cases} (2, \lambda) & \text{if } \nu = \lambda \text{ or } \nu = r \\ (2, \nu) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} & (1, \nu) &\xrightarrow{b} \begin{cases} (2, r) & \text{if } \nu = \lambda \text{ or } \nu = r \\ (2, \nu) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\
(2, \nu) &\xrightarrow{a} \begin{cases} (3, q) & \text{if } \nu = r \text{ or } \nu = q \\ (3, \nu) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} & (2, \nu) &\xrightarrow{b} \begin{cases} (3, r) & \text{if } \nu = r \text{ or } \nu = q \\ (3, \nu) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

Note that we use the same identifier for an one-vertex subgraph and for its vertex. As it is clear from Figure 4b, the links of $\text{NOTINC}(\lambda)$ are:

$$\begin{aligned}
(1, \nu) &\xrightarrow{a} (2, \lambda) & (1, \nu) &\xrightarrow{b} (2, r) \\
(2, \nu) &\xrightarrow{a} \begin{cases} (3, q) & \text{if } \nu = q \text{ or } \nu = \lambda \\ (3, \nu) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} & (2, \nu) &\xrightarrow{b} \begin{cases} (3, \lambda) & \text{if } \nu = q \text{ or } \nu = \lambda \\ (3, \nu) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

The key property of such templates comes to light when we need to apply some two-letter word in order to make the state $(3, \lambda)$ inactive assuming $(1, r)$ inactive. If also $(1, \lambda)$ is initially inactive, we can use the word a^2 in both templates. If it is active (which corresponds to the idea of unsatisfied literal λ), we discover the difference between the two templates: The word a^2 works if the type is $\text{NOTINC}(\lambda)$, but fails in the case of $\text{INC}(\lambda)$. Such *failure* corresponds to the idea of unsatisfied literal λ occurring in a clause of ϕ .

For each clause (each $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$) we define a template $\text{TESTER}(i)$. It consists of $2n$ serially linked parts, namely level_λ for each $\lambda \in L_\phi$, each of type $\text{INC}(\lambda)$ or $\text{NOTINC}(\lambda)$. The particular type of each level_λ depends on the clause




Figure 4: Templates $\text{INC}(\lambda)$ and $\text{NOTINC}(\lambda)$




Figure 5: Template TESTER




Figure 6: Templates **FORCER** and **LIMITER** respectively

C_i as seen in Figure 5, so exactly three of them are always of type $\text{INC}(\dots)$. If the corresponding clause is unsatisfied, each of its three literals is unsatisfied, which causes three failures within the levels. Three failures imply at least three occurrences of b , which turns up to be too much for a reset word of certain length to exist. Clearly we still need some additional mechanisms to realize this vague vision.

Figure 6 defines templates **FORCER** and **LIMITER**. The idea of template **FORCER** is simple. Imagine a situation when $q_{1,0}$ or $r_{1,0}$ is active and we need to deactivate the entire forcer by a word of length at most $2n + 3$. Any use of b would cause an unbearable delay, so if such a word exists, it starts by a^{2n+2} .

The idea of **LIMITER** is similar, but we tolerate some occurrences of b here, namely two of them. This works if we assume $s_{1,0}$ active and it is necessary to deactivate the entire limiter by a word of length at most $6n + 1$.

We also need a template **PIPES**(d, k) for each $d, k \geq 1$. It consists just of k parallel pipes of length d . Namely there is a **SINGLE** part $s_{d',k'}$ for each $d' \leq d$, $k' \leq k$ and all the edges are of the form $s_{d',k'} \rightarrow s_{d'+1,k'}$.

The most complex templates are **CLAUSE**(i) for each $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. Denote

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_i &= (i-1)(12n-2), \\ \beta_i &= (m-i)(12n-2). \end{aligned}$$

As shown in Figure 7, **CLAUSE**(i) consists of the following parts:




Figure 7: Template $\text{CLAUSE}(i)$

- Parts $sp_1, \dots, sp_{4n+6}$ of type **SINGLE**.
- Parts $abs_1, \dots, abs_{4n+6}$ of type **ABS**. The entire template has a shape similar to Figure 2, including the barrier of **ABS** parts.
- Parts $pipe_2, pipe_3, pipe_4$ of types $\text{PIPE}(2n-1)$ and $pipe_6, pipe_7$ of types $\text{PIPE}(2n+2)$.
- Parts cca and cci of types **CCA** and **CCI** respectively. Together with the pipes above they realize the idea described in (2). As they form two constellations which work simultaneously, the parts $pipe_6$ and $pipe_7$ typically record mutually inverse sequences. We interpret them as an assignment of the variables $x_1, \dots, x_n$. Such assignment is then processed by the tester.
- A part ν of type **SINGLE** for each $\nu \in M_\phi$.
- A part $tester$ of type **TESTER**(i).
- A part $\bar{\lambda}$ of type **SINGLE** for each $\lambda \in L_\phi$. While describing the templates **INC**(λ) and **NOTINC**(λ) we claimed that in certain case there arises a need to make the state $(3, \lambda)$ inactive. This happens when the border of inactive area moves down through the tester levels. The point is that any word of length $6n$ deactivates the entire tester, but we need to ensure that some tester columns, namely the $\kappa(\lambda)$ -th for each $\lambda \in L_\phi$, are deactivated one step earlier. If some of them is still active just before the deactivation of tester finishes, the state $\bar{\lambda}$ becomes active, which slows down the synchronization process.
- Parts $pipes_1, pipes_2$ and $pipes_3$ of types $\text{PIPES}(\alpha_i, 4n+4)$, $\text{PIPES}(6n-2, 4n+4)$ and $\text{PIPES}(\beta_i, 4n+4)$ respectively. There are multiple clauses in ϕ , but multiple testers cannot work in parallel. That is why each of them is padded by a passive $\text{PIPES}(\dots)$ part of size depending on particular i . If $\alpha_i = 0$ or $\beta_i = 0$, the corresponding **PIPES** part is not present in cl_i .
- Parts $pipe_1, pipe_5, pipe_8, pipe_9$ of types $\text{PIPE}(12mn+4n-2m+6)$, $\text{PIPE}(4)$, $\text{PIPE}(\alpha_i+6n-1)$, $\text{PIPE}(\beta_i)$ respectively.
- The part $forcer$ of type **FORCER**. This part guarantees that only the letter a is used in certain segment of the word w . This is necessary for the data produced by cca and cci to safely leave the parts $pipe_3, pipe_4$ and line up in the states of the form ν for $\nu \in M_\phi$, from where they are shifted to the tester.
- The part $limiter$ of type **LIMITER**. This part guarantees that the letter b occurs at most twice when the border of inactive area passes through the tester. Because each unsatisfied literal from the clause requests an occurrence of b , only a satisfied clause meets all the conditions for a reset word of certain length to exist.




Figure 8: The graph G

Links of $\text{CLAUSE}(i)$, which are not clear from Figure 7 are

$$\nu \xrightarrow{a} \begin{cases} \text{pipes}_1|s_{1,\mu(\nu)} & \text{if } \nu = \neg x_n \\ \mu'(\mu(\nu) + 1) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad \nu \xrightarrow{b} \text{pipes}_1|s_{1,\mu(\nu)}$$

for each $\nu \in M_\phi$ and

$$\text{pipes}_3|s_{\beta_i,k} \xrightarrow{a,b} \begin{cases} \overline{\mu'(k)} & \text{if } \mu'(k) \in L_\phi \\ \text{abs}_{k+2}|in & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad \overline{\lambda} \xrightarrow{a,b} \text{abs}_{\mu(\lambda)+2}|in$$

for each $k \in \{1, \dots, 4n+4\}$, $\lambda \in L_\phi$.

We are ready to form the whole graph G , see Figure 8. For each $i, k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ there are parts cl_k, abs_k of types $\text{CLAUSE}(i)$ and ABS respectively and parts q_k, r_k, r'_k, s_1, s_2 of type SINGLE . The edge incoming to a cl_i part ends in $cl_i|sp_1$, the outgoing one starts in $cl_i|sp_{4n+6}$. When no states outside ABS parts are active within each $\text{CLAUSE}(\dots)$ part and no out, r_1 nor r_2 state is active in any ABS part, the word b^2ab^{4n+m+7} takes all active states to s_2 and completes the synchronization. Graph G does not fully represent the automaton A yet because there are

- $8mn + 4m$ vertices with only one outgoing edge, namely $cl_i|abs_k|out$ and $cl_i|sp_l$ for each $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}, k \in \{1, \dots, 4n+6\}, l \in \{7, \dots, 4n+4\}$,
- $8mn + 4m$ vertices with only one incoming edge: $cl_i|\nu$ and $cl_i|\text{pipes}_1|(1, \nu')$ for each $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}, \nu \in M_\phi \setminus \{q, q'\}, \nu' \in M_\phi \setminus \{x_n, \neg x_n\}$.

But we do not need to specify the missing edges exactly, let us just say that they somehow connect the relevant states and the automaton A is complete. Let us set

$$d = 12mn + 8n - m + 18$$

and prove that the equivalence (1) holds.

2.3. From an Assignment to a Word

First let us suppose that there is an assignment $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n \in \{\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1}\}$ of the variables $x_1, \dots, x_n$ (respectively) satisfying the formula ϕ and prove that the automaton A has a reset word w of length d . For each $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ we denote

$$\sigma_j = \begin{cases} a & \text{if } \xi_j = \mathbf{1} \\ b & \text{if } \xi_j = \mathbf{0} \end{cases}$$

and for each $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ we choose a satisfied literal $\bar{\lambda}_i$ from C_i . We set

$$w = a^2 (\sigma_n a) (\sigma_{n-1} a) \dots (\sigma_1 a) a b a^{2n+3} b (a^{6n-2} v_1) \dots (a^{6n-2} v_m) b^2 a b^{4n+m+7},$$

where for each $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ we use the word

$$v_i = u_{i,x_1} \dots u_{i,x_n} u_{i,\neg x_1} \dots u_{i,\neg x_n},$$

denoting

$$u_{i,\lambda} = \begin{cases} a^3 & \text{if } \lambda = \bar{\lambda}_i \text{ or } \lambda \notin C_i \\ b a^2 & \text{if } \lambda \neq \bar{\lambda}_i \text{ and } \lambda \in C_i \end{cases}$$

for each $\lambda \in L_\phi$. We see that $|v_i| = 6n$ and therefore

$$|w| = 4n + 8 + m(12n - 2) + 4n + m + 10 = 12mn + 8n - m + 18 = d.$$

Let us denote

$$\gamma = 12mn + 4n - 2m + 9$$

and

$$\bar{S}_t = Q \setminus S_t$$

for each $t \leq d$. Because the first occurrence of b^2 in w starts by the γ -th letter, we have:

Lemma 2.1. *Each state of a form $cl\dots|abs\dots|out$ or $abs\dots|out$ lies in $\bar{S}_2 \cap \dots \cap \bar{S}_\gamma$.*

Let us fix an arbitrary $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and describe a growing area of inactive states within cl_i . We use the following method of verifying inactivity of states: Having a state $s \in Q$ and $t, k \geq 1$ such that any path of length k ending in s uses a member of $\bar{S}_{t-k} \cap \dots \cap \bar{S}_{t-1}$, we easily deduce that $s \in \bar{S}_t$. In such case let us just say that k witnesses that $s \in \bar{S}_t$. The following claims follow directly from the definition of w . Note that Claim 7 relies on the fact that b occurs only twice in v_i .

Lemma 2.2.

1. $\{cl_i|sp_1, \dots, cl_i|sp_{4n+6}\} \subseteq \overline{S}_2 \cap \dots \cap \overline{S}_\gamma$
2. $cl_i|pipe_2 \cup cl_i|pipe_3 \cup cl_i|pipe_4 \subseteq \overline{S}_{2n+1} \cap \dots \cap \overline{S}_\gamma$
3. $cl_i|cca \cup cl_i|cci \cup cl_i|pipe_5 \subseteq \overline{S}_{2n+5} \cap \dots \cap \overline{S}_\gamma$
4. $cl_i|pipe_6 \cup cl_i|pipe_7 \cup cl_i|forcer \subseteq \overline{S}_{4n+7} \cap \dots \cap \overline{S}_\gamma$
5. $\{cl_i|\nu : \nu \in M_\phi\} \subseteq \overline{S}_{4n+8} \cap \dots \cap \overline{S}_\gamma$
6. $cl_i|pipes_1 \cup cl_i|pipes_2 \cup cl_i|pipe_8 \subseteq \overline{S}_{10n+\alpha_i+6} \cap \dots \cap \overline{S}_\gamma$
7. $cl_i|limiter \cup cl_i|tester \subseteq \overline{S}_{16n+\alpha_i+6} \cap \dots \cap \overline{S}_\gamma$
8. $cl_i|pipe_1 \cup cl_i|pipe_9 \cup cl_i|pipes_3 \subseteq \overline{S}_{\gamma-1} \cap \overline{S}_\gamma$

Proof.

1. *Claim:* $\{cl_i|sp_1, \dots, cl_i|sp_{4n+6}\} \subseteq \overline{S}_2 \cap \dots \cap \overline{S}_\gamma$.
We have $w_1w_2 = a^2$ and there is no path labeled by a^2 ending in any $cl_i|sp_{\dots}$ state, so such states lie in $\overline{S}_2$. For each $t = 3, \dots, \gamma$ we can inductively use $k = 1$ to witness the memberships in $\overline{S}_t$. In the induction step we use Lemma 2.1, which excludes the *out* states of the ABS parts from each corresponding $\overline{S}_{t-1}$.
2. *Claim:* $cl_i|pipe_2 \cup cl_i|pipe_3 \cup cl_i|pipe_4 \subseteq \overline{S}_{2n+1} \cap \dots \cap \overline{S}_\gamma$.
All the memberships are witnessed by $k = 2n - 1$, because any path of the length $2n - 1$ ending in such state must use a $cl_i|sp_{\dots}$ state and such states lie in $\overline{S}_2 \cap \dots \cap \overline{S}_\gamma$ by the previous claim.
3. *Claim:* $cl_i|cca \cup cl_i|cci \cup cl_i|pipe_5 \subseteq \overline{S}_{2n+5} \cap \dots \cap \overline{S}_\gamma$.
We have $w_{2n+2} \dots w_{2n+5} = a^2ba$, which clearly maps each state of $cl_i|cca$, $cl_i|cci$ or $cl_i|pipe_5$ out of those parts. Each path of length 4 leading into the parts from outside starts in $\overline{S}_{2n+1}$, so it follows that all the states lie in $\overline{S}_{2n+5}$. To prove the rest we inductively use the witness $k = 1$.
4. *Claim:* $cl_i|pipe_6 \cup cl_i|pipe_7 \cup cl_i|forcer \subseteq \overline{S}_{4n+7} \cap \dots \cap \overline{S}_\gamma$.
In the cases of $cl_i|pipe_6$ and $cl_i|pipe_7$ we just use the witness $k = 2n + 2$. In the case of $cl_i|forcer$ we proceed the same way as in the previous claim. We have $w_{2n+6} \dots w_{4n+7} = a^{2n+2}$. Because also $w_{2n+5} = a$, only the states $q_{\dots,0}$ can be active within the part $cl_i|forcer$ in time $2n + 6$. The word $w_{2n+7} \dots w_{4n+7}$ maps all such states out of $cl_i|forcer$. Each path of length $2n + 2$ leading into $cl_i|forcer$ from outside starts in $\overline{S}_{2n+5}$, so it follows that all states from $cl_i|forcer$ lie in $\overline{S}_{4n+7}$. To handle $t = 4n + 8, \dots, \gamma$ we inductively use the witness $k = 1$.
5. *Claim:* $\{cl_i|\nu : \nu \in M_\phi\} \subseteq \overline{S}_{4n+8} \cap \dots \cap \overline{S}_\gamma$.
In the cases of $cl_i|q$ and $cl_i|q'$ we use the witness 1. We have $w_{4n+8} = b$ and the only edges labeled by b incoming to remaining states could be some of the $8mn + 4m$ unspecified edges of G . But we have $w_{4n+6}w_{4n+7} = a^2$, so each *out* state of any ABS part lies in $\overline{S}_{4n+7}$ and thus no unspecified edge starts in a state outside $\overline{S}_{4n+7}$.

6. *Claim:* $cl_i|pipes_1 \cup cl_i|pipes_2 \cup cl_i|pipe_8 \subseteq \overline{S}_{10n+\alpha_i+6} \cap \dots \cap \overline{S}_\gamma$.
 We use witnesses $k = \alpha_i$ for $cl_i|pipes_1$, $k = 6n - 2$ for $cl_i|pipes_2$ and $k = \alpha_i + 6n - 1$ for $cl_i|pipe_8$.
7. *Claim:* $cl_i|limiter \cup cl_i|tester \subseteq \overline{S}_{16n+\alpha_i+6} \cap \dots \cap \overline{S}_\gamma$.
 Because
- $$w_{4n+\alpha_i+9} \dots w_{10n+\alpha_i+6} = a^{6n-2},$$
- there are only states of the form $cl_i|limiter|s_{\dots,0}$ in the intersection of $cl_i|limiter$ and $S_{10n+\alpha_i+6}$. Together with the fact that there are only two occurrences of b in v_i it confirms that the case of $cl_i|limiter$ holds. The case of $cl_i|tester$ is easily witnessed by $k = 6n$.
8. *Claim:* $cl_i|pipe_1 \cup cl_i|pipe_9 \cup cl_i|pipes_3 \subseteq \overline{S}_{\gamma-1} \cap \overline{S}_\gamma$.
 We use witnesses $k = 12mn + 4n - 2m + 6$ for $cl_i|pipe_1$ and $k = \beta_i$ for $cl_i|pipe_9, cl_i|pipes_3$.

□

For each $\lambda \in L_\phi$ we ensure by the word $u_{i,\lambda}$ that the $\kappa(\lambda)$ -th tester column is deactivated in advance, namely at time $t = 16n + \alpha_i + 5$. The advance allows the following key claim to hold true.

Lemma 2.3. $\{cl_i|\overline{\lambda} : \lambda \in L_\phi\} \subseteq \overline{S}_{\gamma-1} \cap \overline{S}_\gamma$.

Proof. For each such λ we choose

$$k = 6n - 3\kappa(\lambda) + \beta_i + 1$$

as a witness of $cl_i|\overline{\lambda} \in \overline{S}_{\gamma-1}$. There is only one state where a path of length k ending in $\overline{\lambda}$ starts: the state

$$s = cl_i|tester|level_\lambda|(3, \lambda).$$

It holds that

$$s \in \overline{S}_{10n+\alpha_i+3\kappa(\lambda)+6} \cap \dots \cap \overline{S}_\gamma,$$

as is easily witnessed by $k' = 3\kappa(\lambda)$ using Claim 6 of Lemma 2.2. But we are going to show also that

$$s \in \overline{S}_{10n+\alpha_i+3\kappa(\lambda)+5}, \tag{3}$$

which will imply that k is a true witness of $\overline{\lambda} \in \overline{S}_{\gamma-1}$, because

$$(\gamma - 1) - k = 10n + \alpha_i + 3\kappa(\lambda) + 5.$$

So let us prove the membership (3). We need to observe, using the definition of w , that:

- At time $2n + 5$ the part $pipe_6$ records the sequence

$$\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1}, \xi_1, \xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_n, \xi_n$$

and the part $pipe_7$ records the sequence of inverted values. Because

$$w_{2n+6} \dots w_{4n+7} = a^{2n+2},$$

at time $4n+7$ the states q, r' are active, the states q', r are inactive and for each $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ it holds that

$$x_j \in \overline{S}_{4n+7} \Leftrightarrow y_j \in \overline{S}_{4n+7} \Leftrightarrow \neg x_j \in S_{4n+7} \Leftrightarrow z_j \in S_{4n+7} \Leftrightarrow \xi_j = \mathbf{1}.$$

Because $w_{4n+8} = b$, at time $10n + \alpha_i + 6$ we find the whole structure above shifted to the first row of $cl_i|tester|$, so particularly for $\lambda \in L_\phi$:

$$cl_i|tester|level_{x_1}|(1, \lambda) \in \overline{S}_{10n+\alpha_i+6} \Leftrightarrow \lambda \text{ is satisfied by } \xi_1, \dots, \xi_n.$$

- From a simple induction on tester levels it follows that

$$cl_i|tester|level_\lambda|(1, r) \in \overline{S}_{10n+\alpha_i+3\kappa(\lambda)+3}.$$

Note that

$$w_{10n+\alpha_i+3\kappa(\lambda)+4} w_{10n+\alpha_i+3\kappa(\lambda)+5} w_{10n+\alpha_i+3\kappa(\lambda)+6} = u_{i,\lambda}$$

and distinguish the following cases:

- If $\lambda = \overline{\lambda}_i$, we have $\lambda \in C_i$, the part $cl_i|tester|level_\lambda$ is of type $\text{INC}(\lambda)$ and $u_{i,\lambda} = a^3$. We also know that λ is satisfied, so

$$cl_i|tester|level_{x_1}|(1, \lambda) \in \overline{S}_{10n+\alpha_i+6}.$$

The state above is the only state, from which any path of length $3\kappa(\lambda) - 3$ leads to $cl_i|tester|level_\lambda|(1, \lambda)$, so we deduce that

$$cl_i|tester|level_\lambda|(1, \lambda) \in \overline{S}_{10n+\alpha_i+3\kappa(\lambda)+3}.$$

We see that each path labeled by a^2 ending in $cl_i|tester|level_\lambda|(3, \lambda)$ starts in $cl_i|tester|level_\lambda|(1, \lambda)$ or in $cl_i|tester|level_\lambda|(1, r)$, but each of the two states lies in $\overline{S}_{10n+\alpha_i+3\kappa(\lambda)+3}$. So the membership (3) holds.

- If $\lambda \notin C$, the part $cl_i|tester|level_\lambda$ is of type $\text{NOTINC}(\lambda)$ and $u_{i,\lambda} = a^3$. Particularly $w_{10n+\alpha_i+3\kappa(\lambda)+5} = a$ but no edge labeled by a comes to $cl_i|tester|level_\lambda|(3, \lambda)$ and the membership (3) follows trivially.
- If $\lambda \neq \overline{\lambda}_i$ and $\lambda \in C_i$, the part $cl_i|tester|level_\lambda$ is of type $\text{INC}(\lambda)$ and $u_{i,\lambda} = ba^2$. Particularly

$$w_{10n+\alpha_i+3\kappa(\lambda)+4} w_{10n+\alpha_i+3\kappa(\lambda)+5} = ba,$$

but no path labeled by ba comes to $cl_i|tester|level_\lambda|(3, \lambda)$, so we reach the same conclusion as in the previous case.

We have proven that $cl_i|\overline{\lambda}$ lies in $\overline{S}_{\gamma-1}$. From Claim 8 of Lemma 2.2 it follows directly that it lies also in $\overline{S}_\gamma$. $\square$

We see that within cl_i only states from the ABS parts can lie in $S_{\gamma-1}$. Since $w_{\gamma-2}w_{\gamma-1} = a^2$, no state r_1, r_2 or *out* from any ABS part lies in $S_{\gamma-1}$. Now we easily check that all the states possibly present in $S_{\gamma-1}$ are mapped to s_2 by the word $w_\gamma \dots w_d = b^2ab^{4n+m+7}$.

2.4. From a Word to an Assignment.

Since now we suppose that there is a reset word w of length

$$d = 12mn + 8n - m + 18.$$

The following lemma is not hard to verify.

Lemma 2.4.

1. Up to labeling there is a unique pair of paths, both of a length $l \leq d - 2$, leading from $cl_1|pipe_1|s_1$ and $cl_2|pipe_1|s_1$ to a common end. They are of length $d - 2$ and meet in s_2 .
2. The word w starts by a^2 .

Proof.

1. The leading segments of both paths are similar since they stay within the parts cl_1 and cl_2 :

$$\begin{aligned} pipe_1|s_1 &\xrightarrow{a,b} \dots \xrightarrow{a,b} pipe_1|s_{12mn+4n-2m+6} \xrightarrow{a,b} abs_1|in \xrightarrow{b} \\ &\xrightarrow{b} abs_1|r_1 \xrightarrow{b} abs_1|out \xrightarrow{a} sp_1 \xrightarrow{b} \dots \xrightarrow{b} sp_{4n+6}. \end{aligned}$$

Once the paths leave the parts cl_1 and cl_2 , the shortest way to merge is the following:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} cl_1|sp_{4n+6} & \xrightarrow{b} & q_1 & \xrightarrow{b} & q_2 & \xrightarrow{b} & \dots & \xrightarrow{b} & q_{m-1} & \xrightarrow{b} & q_m & \xrightarrow{b} & s_1 & \xrightarrow{b} & s_2 \\ cl_2|sp_{4n+6} & \xrightarrow{b} & q_2 & \xrightarrow{b} & q_3 & \xrightarrow{b} & \dots & \xrightarrow{b} & q_m & \xrightarrow{b} & s_1 & \xrightarrow{b} & s_2 & \xrightarrow{b} & s_2 \end{array}$$

Having the description above it is easy to verify that the length is $d - 2$ and there is no way to make the paths shorter.

2. Suppose that $w_1w_2 \neq a^2$. Any of the three possible values of w_1w_2 implies that

$$\{cl_i|sp_3, \dots, cl_i|sp_{4n+6}\} \subseteq S_2$$

for each i . It cannot hold that $w = w_1w_2b^{d-2}$, because in such case all $cl_i|cca|s_b$ states would be active in any time $t \geq 3$. So the word w has a prefix $w_1w_2b^ka$ for some $k \geq 0$. If $k \leq 4n + 3$, it holds that $cl_i|sp_{4n+6} \in S_{k+2}$ and therefore $cl_i|pipe_1|s_1 \in S_{k+3}$, which contradicts the first claim. Let $k \geq 4n + 4$. Some state of a form $cl_i|forcer|q_1, \dots$ or $cl_i|forcer|r_1, \dots$ lies in S_{k+2} for each i . This holds particularly for $i = 1$ and $i = 2$, but there is no pair of paths of length at most

$$d - (4n + 4) \geq d - k$$

leading from such two states to a common end.

□

The second claim implies that $cl_i|pipe_1|s_1 \in S_2$ for each $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, so it follows that

$$\delta(Q, w) = \{s_2\}.$$

Let us denote

$$\bar{d} = 12mn + 4n - 2m + 11$$

and

$$\bar{w} = w_1 \dots w_{\bar{d}}.$$

The following lemma holds because no edges labeled by a are available for final segments of the paths described in the first claim of Lemma 2.4.

Lemma 2.5.

1. The word w can be written as $w = \bar{w}b^{4n+m+7}$ for some word $\bar{w}$.
2. For any $t \geq \bar{d}$, no state from any $cl\dots$ part lie in S_t , except for the $sp\dots$ states.

Proof.

1. Let us write $w = w_1w_2w'$. From Lemma 2.4 it follows that

$$\delta(cl_1|pipe_1|s_1, w') = \delta(cl_2|pipe_1|s_1, w')$$

and w' have to label some of the paths determined up to labeling in Lemma 2.4(1). The final $4n+m+7$ edges of the paths lead from $cl_1|sp_1$ and $cl_2|sp_1$ to s_2 . All the transitions used here are necessarily labeled by b .

2. The claim is easy to observe, since the first claim implies that S_t is a subset of

$$S' = \{s \in Q \mid (\exists d \in \mathbb{N}) \delta(s, b^d) = s_2\}.$$

□

The next lemma is based on properties of the parts $cl\dots|forcer$ but to prove that no more a follows the enforced factor a^{2n+1} we also need to observe that each $cl\dots|cca|out$ or each $cl\dots|cci|out$ lies in S_{2n+4} .

Lemma 2.6. The word $\bar{w}$ starts by $\bar{u}a^{2n+1}b$ for some $\bar{u}$ of length $2n+6$.

Proof. At first we prove that $\bar{w}$ starts by $\bar{u}a^{2n+1}$. Lemma 2.4(2) implies that $cl_1|pipe_2|s_1 \in S_2$, so obviously some of the states $cl_1|forcer|q_{1,0}$ and $cl_1|forcer|r_{1,0}$ lies in S_{2n+6} . If $w_{2n+6+k} = b$ for some $k \in \{1, \dots, 2n+1\}$, it holds that $cl_i|forcer|q_{k,2}$ or $cl_i|forcer|r_{k,2}$ lies in S_{2n+6+k} . From such state no path of length at most $2n+3-k$ leads to $cl_i|pipe_8|s_1$ and therefore no path of length at most

$$(2n+3-k) + (\alpha_i + 6n - 1) + (6n - 2) + \beta_i + 3 = \bar{d} - (2n+6+k)$$

leads into S' , which contradicts Lemma 2.5(2). It remains to show that there is b after the prefix $\bar{u}a^{2n+1}$. Lemma 2.4(2) implies that both $cl_1|cca|in$ and $cl_1|cci|in$ lie in S_{2n+1} , from which it is not hard to deduce that $cl_1|cca|out$ or $cl_1|cci|out$ lies in S_{2n+4} and therefore $cl_1|q$ or $cl_1|r$ lies in S_{4n+7} . Any path of length $\bar{d} - (4n+7)$ leading from $cl_1|q$ or $cl_1|r$ into $\bar{S}$ starts by an edge labeled by b . □

Now we are able to write the word $\overline{w}$ as

$$\overline{w} = \overline{u}a^{2n+1}b(\overline{v}_1v'_1c_1)\dots(\overline{v}_mv'_mc_m)w_{\overline{d}-2}w_{\overline{d}-1}w_{\overline{d}},$$

where $|\overline{v}_k| = 6n - 2$, $|v'_k| = 6n - 1$ and $|c_k| = 1$ for each k and denote $d_i = 10n + \alpha_i + 6$. At time $2n + 5$ the parts $cl\dots|pipe_6$ and $cl\dots|pipe_7$ record mutually inverse sequences. Because there is the factor a^{2n+1} after $\overline{u}$, at time d_i we find the information pushed to the first rows of testers:

Lemma 2.7. *For each $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ it holds that*

$$\begin{aligned} cl_i|tester|level_{x_1}|(1, x_j) \in S_{d_i} &\Leftrightarrow \\ cl_i|tester|level_{x_1}|(1, \neg x_j) \notin S_{d_i} &\Leftrightarrow w_{2n-2j+2} \neq w_{2n-2j+3}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. From the definition of CCA and CCI it follows that at time $2n + 5$ the parts $pipe_6$ and $pipe_7$ record the sequences $B_{(2n+3)} \dots B_{(2)}$ and $B'_{(2n+3)} \dots B'_{(2)}$ respectively, where

$$B_{(k)} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } w_k = w_{k+1} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad B'_{(k)} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } w_k = w_{k+1} \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

Whatever the letter w_{2n+6} is, Lemma 2.6 implies that

$$cl_i|x_j \in S_{4n+7} \Leftrightarrow cl_i|\neg x_j \notin S_{4n+7} \Leftrightarrow w_{2n-2j+2} \neq w_{2n-2j+3},$$

from which the claim follows easily using Lemma 2.6 again. $\square$

Let us define the assignment $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n \in \{0, 1\}$. By Lemma 2.7 the definition is correct and does not depend on i :

$$\xi_j = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } cl_i|tester|level_{x_1}|(1, x_j) \notin S_{d_i} \\ 0 & \text{if } cl_i|tester|level_{x_1}|(1, \neg x_j) \notin S_{d_i}. \end{cases}$$

The following lemma holds due to $cl\dots|limiter$ parts.

Lemma 2.8. *For each $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ there are at most two occurrences of b in the word v'_i .*

Proof. It is easy to see that $cl_i|limiter|s_{1,0} \in S_{10n+\alpha_i+6}$ and to note that

$$v'_i = w_{10n+\alpha_i+7} \dots w_{16n+\alpha_i+5}.$$

Within the part $cl_i|limiter$ no state except for $s_{6n-2,0}$ can lie in $S_{16n+\alpha_i+5}$, because from such states there is no path of length at most

$$\overline{d} - (16n + \alpha_i + 5) = \beta_i + 4$$

leading into S' .

The shortest paths from $s_{1,0}$ to $s_{6n-2,0}$ have length $6n - 3$ and each path from $s_{1,0}$ into S' uses the state $s_{6n-2,0}$. So there is a path P leading from $s_{1,0}$ to $s_{6n-2,0}$ labeled by a prefix of v' . We distinguish the following cases:

- If P is of length $6n - 3$, we just note that such path is unique and labeled by a^{6n-3} . No b occurs in v' except for the last two positions.
- If P is of length $6n - 2$, it uses an edge of the form $s_{k,0} \xrightarrow{b} s_{k+1,1}$. Such edges preserve the distance to s_{6n-2} , so the rest of P must be a shortest path from $s_{k+1,1}$ to $s_{6n-2,0}$. Such paths are unique and labeled by a^{6n-2-k} . Any other b can occur only at the last position.
- If P is of length $6n - 1$, it is labeled by whole v' . Because any edge labeled by b preserves or increases the distance to s_{6n-2} , the path P can use at most two of them.

□

Now we choose any $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and prove that the assignment $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n$ satisfies the clause $\bigvee_{\lambda \in C_i} \lambda$. Let $p \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ denote the number of unsatisfied literals in C_i .

As we claimed before, all tester columns corresponding to any $\lambda \in L_\phi$ have to be deactivated earlier than other columns. Namely, if $cl_i|tester|level_{x_1}|(1, \lambda)$ is active at time d_i , which happens if and only if λ is not satisfied by $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n$, the word $v'_i c_i$ must not map it to $cl_i|pipes_3|s_{1,\mu(\lambda)}$. If $cl_i|tester|level_\lambda$ is of type $\text{INC}(\lambda)$, the only way to ensure this is to use the letter b when the border of inactive area lies at the first row of $cl_i|tester|level_\lambda$. Thus each unsatisfied $\lambda \in C_i$ implies an occurrence of b in corresponding segment of v'_i :

Lemma 2.9. *There are at least p occurrences of the letter b in the word v'_i .*

Proof. Let $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_p$ be the unsatisfied literals of C_i . From Lemma 2.7 it follows easily that

$$cl_i|tester|level_{\lambda_k}|(1, \lambda_k) \in S_{d_i+3\kappa(\lambda_k)}$$

for each $k \in \{1, \dots, p\}$. The part $cl_i|tester|level_{\lambda_k}$ is of type $\text{INC}(\lambda_k)$, which implies that any path of the length

$$(\bar{d} - 3) - (d_i + 3\kappa(\lambda_k))$$

starting by a takes $cl_i|tester|level_{\lambda_k}|(1, \lambda_k)$ to the state $cl_i|\bar{\lambda}$, which lies outside $S_{\bar{d}-3}$, as it is implied by Lemma 2.5(2). We deduce that $w_{d_i+3\kappa(\lambda_k)+1} = b$. □

By Lemma 2.8 there are at most two occurrences of b in v'_i , so we get $p \leq 2$ and there is at least one satisfied literal in C_i .

References

References

- [1] Bonizzoni, P., Jonoska, N., 2011. Regular splicing languages must have a constant. In: Mauri, G., Leporati, A. (Eds.), Developments in Language Theory. Vol. 6795 of Lecture Notes in Computer Science. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, pp. 82–92.

- [2] Černý, J., 1964. Poznámka k homogénnym experimentom s konečnými automatmi. *Matematicko-fyzikálny časopis* 14 (3), 208–216.
- [3] Eppstein, D., 1990. Reset sequences for monotonic automata. *SIAM J. Comput.* 19 (3), 500–510.
- [4] Grech, M., Kisielewicz, A., 2013. The Černý conjecture for automata respecting intervals of a directed graph. *Discrete Mathematics & Theoretical Computer Science* 15 (3), 61–72.
- [5] Martyugin, P., 2009. Complexity of problems concerning reset words for some partial cases of automata. *Acta Cybern.* 19 (2), 517–536.
- [6] Martyugin, P., 2011. Complexity of problems concerning reset words for cyclic and eulerian automata. In: Bouchou-Markhoff, B., Caron, P., Champarnaud, J.-M., Maurel, D. (Eds.), *Implementation and Application of Automata*. Vol. 6807 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, pp. 238–249.
- [7] Olschewski, J., Ummels, M., 2010. The complexity of finding reset words in finite automata. In: *Proceedings of the 35th international conference on Mathematical foundations of computer science. MFCS'10*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, pp. 568–579.
- [8] Steinberg, B., 2011. The Černý conjecture for one-cluster automata with prime length cycle. *Theoret. Comput. Sci.* 412 (39), 5487 – 5491.
- [9] Trahtman, A. N., 2011. Modifying the upper bound on the length of minimal synchronizing word. In: *FCT*. pp. 173–180.
- [10] Travers, N., Crutchfield, J., 2011. Exact synchronization for finite-state sources. *Journal of Statistical Physics* 145 (5), 1181–1201.
- [11] Volkov, M., 2008. Synchronizing automata and the Černý conjecture. In: Martín-Vide, C., Otto, F., Fernau, H. (Eds.), *Language and Automata Theory and Applications*. Vol. 5196 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, pp. 11–27.